

Role of gauge invariance in $B \rightarrow V\gamma$ radiative weak decays

Riazuddin

*National Center for Physics, Quaid-e-Azam University, Islamabad 45320,
Pakistan*

Abstract

The role of gauge invariance in calculating $B \rightarrow V\gamma$ radiative weak decays is clarified. It is shown that the gauge invariance, particularly in the context of vector meson dominance, severely restricts the contributions mediated by the usual weak non-leptonic Hamiltonian dominated by u and c quarks with one photon attachment. We also discussed contributions which are not restricted to vector dominance.

PACS numbers:13.40.Hq,12.40.Vv,14.40.Nd.

The purpose of this paper is to clarify the role of gauge invariance in calculating $B \rightarrow V\gamma$ radiative weak decays in a general way by usual Ward identities. In particular it is shown that the gauge invariance in the context of vector meson dominance and factorization severely restricts the contributions dominated by u and c quarks. Such contributions are controlled by the effective weak interaction Hamiltonian [1]

$$H_{eff}^w = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \lambda_u^{(q)} \left[a_1 (\bar{u}b)_{V-A} (\bar{q}u)_{V-A} + a_2 (\bar{q}b)_{V-A} (\bar{u}u)_{V-A} \right] + \lambda_c^{(q)} \left[a_1 (\bar{c}b)_{V-A} (\bar{q}c)_{V-A} + a_2 (\bar{q}b)_{V-A} (\bar{c}c)_{V-A} \right] \right\} \quad (1)$$

where $q = d$ or s , $(\bar{u}b)_{V-A} = \bar{u}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)b$ etc., $a_1 = c_1(m_b) + c_2(m_b)\frac{1}{N_c} = 1.02$, $a_2 = c_2(m_b) + c_1(m_b)\frac{1}{N_c} = 0.17$ [2], $\lambda_u^{(q)} = V_{ub}^*V_{uq}$, $\lambda_c^{(q)} = V_{cb}^*V_{cq}$.

We will consider $B \rightarrow \rho\gamma$ as the prototype for our discussion for which we can write the matrix elements to first order in electromagnetism ($e > 0$, $-e$ charge of B^-) and to all orders in the strong coupling:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \gamma(\epsilon, q) \rho(\eta, k) | H_{eff}^w | \bar{B}(p) \rangle &= ie\epsilon^{\lambda*}(q) \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle \rho(\eta, k) | T(j_\lambda^{em}(x) H_{eff}^w) | \bar{B}(p) \rangle \\
&\equiv ie\epsilon^{\lambda*}(q) M_\lambda
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The conservation of electromagnetic current gives the following Ward identity

$$\begin{aligned}
-iq^\lambda M_\lambda &= \int d^3x e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \langle \rho(\eta, k) | [j_0^{em}(\mathbf{x}, 0), H_{eff}^w] | \bar{B}(p) \rangle \\
&= \langle \rho(\eta, k) | [Q^{em}(\mathbf{x}, 0), H_{eff}^w] | \bar{B}(p) \rangle \\
&= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

since $\bar{B}$ and ρ have the same charge 0 or -1 . Now the most general parameterization of M_λ is

$$M_\lambda = A\eta_\lambda^* + q \cdot \eta^* (Bp_\lambda + Cq_\lambda) + i\epsilon_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu*} p^\alpha q^\beta D \tag{4}$$

The Ward identity (3) then gives

$$A + Bp \cdot q + Cq^2 = 0 \tag{5}$$

Thus we can write M_λ as

$$M_\lambda = B(-p \cdot q \eta_\lambda^* + q \cdot \eta^* p_\lambda) + C(q \cdot \eta^* q_\lambda - q^2 \eta_\lambda^*) + i\epsilon_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu*} p^\alpha q^\beta D \tag{6}$$

For the real photon on the mass shell

$$\langle \gamma(\epsilon, q) \rho(\eta, k) | H_{eff}^w | \bar{B}(p) \rangle = ie \left\{ (-p \cdot q \epsilon^* \cdot \eta^* + p \cdot \epsilon^* q \cdot \eta^*) B + i\epsilon_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\lambda*} \eta^{\mu*} p^\alpha q^\beta D \right\} \tag{7}$$

The question of gauge invariance in calculating the photon coupling to hadrons has been discussed by Kroll-Lee-Zumino [3] and Sakurai [4], particularly the compatibility of the vector meson dominance(VMD) with the gauge invariance. According to their scheme there are two equivalent ways of formulating photon coupling to hadrons: (i) the explicitly gauge invariant formulation involving direct gauge-invariant coupling to quarks and no VMD coupling $V.A^{(\gamma)}$ ($A^{(\gamma)}$ is the electromagnetic field). An example of this is the t -quark dominated contribution:

$$-\frac{2G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{e}{16\pi^2}\lambda_t^{(q)}C_7(\mu)m_b\bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(1+\gamma_5)bF_{\mu\nu} \quad (8)$$

which dominates the radiative $B \rightarrow V\gamma$ decays. This is not possible for any of the operators in (1) e.g. $\bar{q}\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)bA_\mu^{(\gamma)}$ is not gauge invariant at quark level [5] for $b \rightarrow q\gamma$. (ii) the standard VMD formulation, which states that the coupling of photon to hadrons may be obtained by first calculating the vector-meson coupling and then performing the substitution

$$V_\mu = \frac{e}{g_V}A_\mu^{(\gamma)}, \quad g_V = \frac{m_V^2}{f_V}, \quad \text{e.g. } V = \rho \quad (9)$$

Here the gauge invariance is not explicit. We write the Hamiltonian (1) generically as

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}[J_1 \cdot J_2 + J'_1 \cdot J'_2] \quad (10)$$

where e.g. $J_1 \cdot J_2$ refers to the first and third terms while $J'_1 \cdot J'_2$ refers to the second and fourth terms in Eq. (1). Through the use of field current-identity [e.g. $\bar{c}\gamma^\mu c \rightarrow f_\psi\psi^\mu$] one obtains [6] either

a) :

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}J_1 \cdot V_2 \quad (11)$$

[V_2 being the vector part of J_2] with vanishing direct photon-current coupling and $V_2 \cdot A^{(\gamma)}$ VMD coupling or

b) :

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\left[J'_1 \cdot V'_2 - \frac{em_V^2}{g_V}J'_1 \cdot A^{(\gamma)}\right] \quad (12)$$

where the second contribution cancels the $V'_2 \cdot A^{(\gamma)}$ VMD coupling. Thus in either case the gauge invariance in VMD gives null result.

We now apply the above general considerations to $B \rightarrow V\gamma$, mediated by the weak Hamiltonian (1). The generation of direct coupling of $\bar{B}$ with the photon can be seen

as follows: Using the field current identity $\bar{c}\gamma_\lambda c \rightarrow f_\psi \psi_\lambda$, $\langle 0 | \bar{c}\gamma_\lambda c | \psi \rangle = f_\psi \epsilon_\lambda^\psi$ and then introducing electromagnetism $\psi_\lambda \rightarrow \psi_\lambda + \frac{2}{3} \frac{ef_\psi}{m_\psi^2} A_\lambda^\gamma$ and similarly for $\bar{u}\gamma_\lambda u$ term through $\rho^0 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{u}u - \bar{d}d)$ and $\omega^0 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d)$ [$\langle 0 | \bar{u}u | \rho^0 \rangle \equiv f_{\rho^0} = \langle 0 | \bar{u}u | \omega \rangle \equiv f_\omega$] mesons, we see that the Hamiltonian $H_{em} = eJ_\lambda^{em} A_\lambda^\gamma$ in the presence of weak interaction goes over to

$$H_{em} = eJ_\lambda^{em} A^{\gamma\lambda} + e \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} a_2 \left\{ \left[\frac{f_{\rho^0}^2}{m_\rho^2} + \frac{f_\omega^2}{m_\omega^2} \right] (\bar{d}\gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) b) A^{\gamma\lambda} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{f_\psi^2}{m_\psi^2} (\bar{d}\gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) b) A^{\gamma\lambda} \right\} \quad (13)$$

Thus the long distance contribution through ψ has two parts: one arising from the third (direct coupling) term in equation (13) and the other through $V.A^{(\gamma)}$ coupling: $\langle \gamma | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | H_w | \bar{B}\rho \rangle$ which in the factorization approximation gives

$$\frac{2}{3} e \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} a_2 \left\{ f_\psi \langle \rho | \bar{d}\gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \bar{B} \rangle \left(g_{\mu\lambda} - \frac{q_\mu q_\lambda}{m^2} \right) \frac{f_\psi}{q^2 - m_\psi^2} + \langle \rho | \bar{d}\gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) b | \bar{B} \rangle \frac{f_\psi^2}{m_\psi^2} \right\} \epsilon^{\lambda*} \quad (14)$$

This vanishes for the real photon; the contribution from the direct term in equation (14) exactly cancels the first contribution. Similarly the contributions through ρ^0 and ω mesons also vanish [6]. Thus the contributions labelled as P_c and P_u in ref. [7] are exactly zero in the factorization approximation used there. In fact the authors of this reference and that of [8] have to force gauge invariance by requiring a particular relation between $A_1(0)$ and $A_2(0)$ which appears in the parametrization of $\langle \rho | \bar{d}\gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \bar{B} \rangle$, and which is not a consequence of any symmetry principle.

Let us now consider the first part of the Hamiltonian (1), which for the process $B^- \rightarrow \rho^- \gamma$, in the factorization ansatz gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho^- \gamma | H_{eff}^w | B^- \rangle &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_u^d a_1 \left\{ -i f_B p^\mu \langle \rho^- \gamma | \bar{d}\gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) u | 0 \rangle + f_{\rho^-} \eta^\mu \langle \gamma | \bar{u}\gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | B^- \rangle \right\} \\ &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_u^d a_1 \left\{ -i f_B p^\mu (-ie) \epsilon^{\lambda*}(q) N_{1\lambda\mu} + f_{\rho^-} \eta^{\mu*} \epsilon^{\lambda*}(q) (-ie) N_{2\lambda\mu} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where with $J_{1\mu} = \bar{d}\gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) u$, $J_{2\mu} = \bar{u}\gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b$

$$N_{1\lambda\mu} = \int e^{iq \cdot x} \langle \rho^- | T(j_\lambda^{em}(x) J_{1\mu}(0)) | 0 \rangle d^4x$$

$$= \int e^{-ip \cdot x} \langle \rho^- | T(j_\lambda^{em}(0) J_{1\mu}(x)) | 0 \rangle d^4x \quad (16)$$

$$N_{2\lambda\mu} = \int e^{iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T(j_\lambda^{em}(x) J_{2\mu}(0)) | B^- \rangle d^4x \quad (17)$$

Since $J_{1\mu}(x)$ is conserved in the chiral limit, the Ward identities give

$$\begin{aligned} ip^\mu N_{1\lambda\mu} &= -\langle \rho^- | [j_\lambda^{em}, I_+] | 0 \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{2} \langle \rho^0 | j_\lambda^{em} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{2} f_{\rho^0} \eta_\lambda^* = f_{\rho^-} \eta_\lambda^* \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -iq^\lambda N_{2\lambda\mu} &= \langle 0 | [Q^{em}, J_{2\mu}] | B^- \rangle \\ &= \langle 0 | J_{2\mu} | B^- \rangle \\ &= -if_B p_\mu \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Thus we write

$$N_{2\lambda\mu} = f_B \frac{(2p - q)_\lambda p_\mu}{2p \cdot q - q^2} + \tilde{N}_{2\lambda\mu} \quad (20)$$

where $q^\lambda \tilde{N}_{2\lambda\mu} = 0$. Hence we obtain ($q^2 = 0$)

$$\langle \rho^- \gamma | H_{eff}^w | B^- \rangle = ie \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_u^d a_1 \left\{ f_B f_{\rho^-} \eta^* \cdot \epsilon^* - f_B f_{\rho^-} \frac{p \cdot \epsilon^* p \cdot \eta^*}{p \cdot q} - f_{\rho^-} \eta^{\mu*} \epsilon^{\lambda*} \tilde{N}_{2\lambda\mu} \right\} \quad (21)$$

Now the most general parametrization of $\tilde{N}_{2\lambda\mu}$ is

$$\tilde{N}_{2\lambda\mu} = g_{\lambda\mu} f_1 + p_\lambda p_\mu f_2 + q_\lambda q_\mu f_3 + q_\lambda p_\mu f_4 + p_\lambda q_\mu f_5 - i\epsilon_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta} p^\alpha q^\beta f_V \quad (22)$$

so that $iq^\lambda \tilde{N}_{2\lambda\mu} = 0$ give

$$f_1 + q^2 f_3 + p \cdot q f_5 = 0, \quad q \cdot p f_2 + q^2 f_4 = 0 \quad (23)$$

Thus we can write

$$\tilde{N}_{2\lambda\mu} = (-p \cdot q g_{\lambda\mu} + p_\lambda q_\mu) f_5 + (q_\lambda q_\mu - q^2 g_{\lambda\mu}) f_3 + \left(q_\lambda p_\mu - \frac{q^2}{p \cdot q} p_\lambda p_\mu \right) f_4 - i\epsilon_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta} p^\alpha q^\beta f_V \quad (24)$$

Hence using Eq. (21) $[p \cdot \eta^* = q \cdot \eta^*]$ [7]

$$\langle \rho^- \gamma | H_{eff}^w | B^- \rangle = ie \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_u^d a_1 f_{\rho^-} \eta^{\mu*} \epsilon^{\lambda*} \left\{ (p \cdot q g_{\lambda\mu} - p_\lambda q_\mu) \left(\frac{f_B}{p \cdot q} + f_5 \right) + i \epsilon_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta} p^\alpha q^\beta f_V \right\} \quad (25)$$

which is manifestly gauge invariant.

The next question is the evaluation of the form factors f_5 and f_V . One way of doing this is through vector (ρ^0) dominance in $t = q^2$ channel, which is a good example to show how non-gauge invariant terms are cancelled out in this case. Thus

$$\eta^{\mu*} \tilde{N}_{2\lambda\mu} = \left(g_{\nu\lambda} - \frac{q_\nu q_\lambda}{q^2} \right) \eta_\mu^* M^{\mu\nu} \frac{f_\rho}{q^2 - m_\rho^2} \quad (26)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_\lambda^* M^{\lambda\mu} &= i \langle \rho^0(q) | J_2^\mu | B^-(p) \rangle \\ &= i \epsilon_\lambda^* \left\{ -\epsilon^{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta} p_\alpha q_\beta \frac{2V(k^2)}{m_B + m_\rho} - i \left[\left(g^{\lambda\mu} - \frac{k^\lambda k^\mu}{k^2} \right) (m_B + m_\rho) A_1(k^2) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[(p+q)^\mu - \frac{m_B^2 - m_\rho^2}{k^2} k^\mu \right] k^\lambda \frac{A_2(k^2)}{m_B + m_\rho} + k^\lambda k^\mu \frac{2m_\rho}{k^2} A_0(k^2) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Then using $k \cdot \eta^* = 0$ [$\hat{F}$'s are certain combinations of A 's, see below]

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{\mu*} \tilde{N}_{2\lambda\mu}(\rho^0) &= \frac{f_\rho}{q^2 - m_\rho^2} \left\{ -i \epsilon_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu*} p^\alpha q^\beta \frac{2V}{m_B + m_\rho} + (-p \cdot q \eta_\lambda^* + p_\lambda q \cdot \eta^*) \hat{F}_5 + \hat{F}_3 (-q^2 \eta_\lambda^* + q_\lambda q \cdot \eta^*) \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{f_\rho}{m_\rho^2} \left[-\eta_\lambda^* (\hat{F}_1 - (-p \cdot q + q^2) \hat{F}_5) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where the non-gauge invariant terms in square bracket combine with the direct term to preserve the gauge invariance. The direct term is given by

$$\langle \gamma | J_{2\mu} | B^- \rangle = \frac{f_\rho}{m_\rho^2} \epsilon^{\lambda*} \langle \rho^0 | J_{2\mu} | B^- \rangle = -i \epsilon^{\lambda*} \tilde{N}_{2\lambda\mu}^{\text{direct}} \quad (29)$$

This gives

$$\eta^{\mu*} \tilde{N}_{2\lambda\mu}^{\text{direct}} = \frac{f_\rho}{m_\rho^2} \left\{ -i \epsilon_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu*} p^\alpha q^\beta \frac{2V}{m_B + m_\rho} + [\eta_\lambda^* \hat{F}_1 + \hat{F}_5 q \cdot \eta^* (p - q)_\lambda] \right\} \quad (30)$$

Combined with (28), we finally obtain fully gauge invariant expression. For real photons for which only non-zero covariants are $i \epsilon_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu*} p^\alpha q^\beta$ and $(-p \cdot q \eta_\lambda^* + p_\lambda q \cdot \eta^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{\mu*} \tilde{N}_{2\lambda\mu} = f_\rho & \left(\frac{1}{q^2 - m_\rho^2} \Big|_{q^2=0} + \frac{1}{m_\rho^2} \right) \\ & \times \left\{ -i\epsilon_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu*} p^\alpha q^\beta \frac{2V}{m_B + m_\rho} + (-p \cdot q \eta_\lambda^* + p_\lambda q \cdot \eta^*) \hat{F}_5 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

giving f_V and f_5 to be zero. Thus the only surviving term in Eq. (25) is

$$\langle \tilde{\rho} \gamma | H_{eff}^w | B^- \rangle = ie \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_u^d a_1 \eta^{\mu*} \epsilon^{\lambda*} (p \cdot q g_{\lambda\mu} - p_\lambda q_\mu) \frac{f_B f_{\rho^-}}{(p \cdot q)} \quad (32)$$

This also implies that in this approximation

$$\langle \rho^0 \gamma | H_{eff}^w | \bar{B}^0 \rangle = 0 \quad (33)$$

We emphasize that these results have been obtained within the framework of VMD and the factorization ansatz.

There are, however other contributions to f_V and f_5 , for example, $B^*(1^-)$, $B_A^*(1^+)$ etc. poles in the channel $s = k^2$ or even from the continuum (e.g. quark triangle). Instead of calculating these contributions explicitly, we notice that the form factor F_1 appearing in $\langle \rho | \bar{d} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu b | B^- \rangle$ and those in $\langle \gamma | \bar{u} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | B^- \rangle$ are related through the universal function $\xi_\perp$ [9]

$$F_1(q^2 = (p_B - p_\rho)^2 = 0) \approx \xi_\perp(0) \quad (34)$$

$$f_V = f_5 \ (\equiv f_A) = \frac{f_{\rho^-}}{m_\rho^2} \frac{2}{3} (2\xi_\perp(0)) \frac{1}{m_B} \quad (35)$$

where $\xi_\perp(q^2)$ scales [9] as $m_B^{-3/2}/(1 - q^2/m_B^2)$ near $q^2 = 0$. Various estimates [10] of $\xi_\perp(0)$ give it to be 0.20. In Eq. (34) [and in subsequent equations] f_V and f_5 are evaluated at $(p_B - p_\gamma)^2 = 0$ which corresponds to $E_\gamma = m_B/2$. With $f_{\rho^-}/m_\rho \simeq 205 \text{ MeV}$, we obtain

$$f_V = f_A = 0.013 \text{ GeV}^{-1} \quad (36)$$

which is of the same order as $[f_B \simeq 180 \text{ MeV}] \ f_B/p \cdot q = \frac{2f_B}{m_B} \frac{1}{m_B} = 0.013 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ [the first term in Eq. (25)] and is much smaller than the estimate in [7] [$E_\gamma = m_B/2$]

$$f_V = \frac{f_B}{2m_B} \frac{m_B}{E_\gamma} \frac{2}{3} R \simeq \frac{f_B}{m_B} \frac{2}{3} R \simeq 0.057 \text{ GeV}^{-1} \quad (37)$$

with $R \simeq 2.5\text{GeV}^{-1}$. Note that $(m_B f_V)$ in Eq.(37) does not satisfy the scaling law $m_B^{-3/2}$ and this seems to be the reason for its enhanced value.

In conclusion we have clarified the role of gauge invariance by using the Ward identities for contributions involving the Hamiltonian (1) in the factorization ansatz, particularly within the framework of the VMD. The general case, going beyond ρ^0 meson dominance in t -channel is also considered. The contributions for the vector and axial vector form factor for $B \rightarrow \rho^- \gamma$ are found to be much smaller than the previous estimate.

The author would like to thank Professor M. A. Virasoro for hospitality at the **Abdus Salam** International Center for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy, where most of this work was done.

Note Added: After the paper was submitted, I was informed about a paper [11] in which it has been shown that using gauge invariance alone, the factorizeable $c\bar{c}$ contribution in the radiative $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ decay vanishes. This reinforces our conclusion reached after Eq. (14) within the framework of VMD, which in fact has been used by many authors in calculating the photon-coupling to hadrons and where the role of gauge invariance is not properly treated.

Another recent preprint [12] has also been brought to our attention where Ward identities have been employed and where although the gauge invariance in VMD is not discussed but general conclusions seem to agree.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bauer, B. Stech and M. Wirbel, Z. Phys. **C34**, 103 (1998)
- [2] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras and M. E. Lautenbacher, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 1125 (1996)
- [3] N. M. Kroll, T. D. Lee, and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. **157**, 1376 (1967)
- [4] J. Sakurai, Currents and Mesons (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, (1969) p. 65–71)
- [5] N. G. Deshpande, J. Trampetic and K. Panose, Phys. Lett. **B214**, 467 (1988)
- [6] R. F. Sarraga, and H. J. Munczek, Phys. Rev. **D4**, 2884 (1974)
- [7] B. Grinstein and D. Pirjol, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 093002 (2000)
- [8] H. Y. Cheng, Phys. Rev. **D51**, 6228 (1995)
- [9] J. Charles et al. Phys. Rev. **D60**, 014001 (1999)
- [10] See for example, A. Ali and A. Ya. Parkhomenko, hep-ph/0105302 V5; M. Beneka, Th. Feldnam and D. Seidal, Nucl. Phys. **B612**, 25 (2001); S. W. Bosch and G. Buchalla, hep-ph/0106081.
- [11] D. Melikhov, hep-ph/0104111
- [12] A. Khodjamirian and D. Wyler, hep-ph/011249